Diurnal rhythm of cortisol during late pregnancy: Associations with maternal psychological well-being and fetal growth
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Summary Maternal psychological functioning during pregnancy affects both maternal and fetal well-being. The hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis provides one mechanism through which maternal psychosocial factors may be transduced to the fetus. However, few studies have examined maternal psychological factors or birth outcomes in relation to the diurnal pattern of cortisol across the day. The current study examined maternal psychological well-being, parity status, and birth weight in relation to the maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm in a group of 98 low-risk pregnant women (51 primiparae). At 36 weeks gestation, participants completed both pregnancy-specific and general self-report measures of psychological functioning and provided saliva samples at 8:00, 12:00, and 16:00 h on 2 consecutive working days for the assay of cortisol. The expected diurnal decline in salivary cortisol was observed. Higher trait anxiety was associated with a flatter afternoon decline for all mothers. For primiparae, steeper morning cortisol declines were associated with lower infant birth weight. The findings suggest that regulation of the HPA axis may differ by parity status with downstream implications for fetal growth and development.
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the adrenal cortex. During pregnancy, peripheral CRH of placental origin rises dramatically over non-pregnant levels. This increase in CRH is paralleled by elevations in both ACTH and total cortisol levels (for reviews, see Levine et al., 2007; Mastorakos and Ilias, 2003). Free (bioavailable) cortisol remains at non-pregnant levels until around the 25th week of gestation and rises thereafter (Allolio et al., 1990; Demey-Ponsart et al., 1982). The elevated free cortisol levels of late pregnancy may be due to a resetting of the sensitivity of the HPA axis (Scott et al., 1990). Despite these functional alterations during pregnancy, the diurnal rhythm of cortisol is largely maintained with peak levels at approximately 30-min post-waking and a gradual decrease across the day to an early evening nadir (Allolio et al., 1990; de Weerth and Buitelaar, 2005).

While cortisol is often suggested as a mediator of maternal psychological well-being on fetal development (Wadhwa, 2005; Weinstock, 2005), evidence that maternal experience of stress is associated with cortisol levels during pregnancy is inconsistent. In general, self-reported measures of maternal stress, including reports of life events, daily hassles, and perceived stress, are often unrelated to maternal cortisol during pregnancy (Petraglia et al., 2001; Urizar et al., 2004; Wadhwa et al., 1996), but small positive associations with cortisol levels have been reported (Buitelaar et al., 2003; Diego et al., 2006). In contrast, one study incorporating measures of both waking and late evening cortisol during the third trimester observed 27% higher evening cortisol levels among women who experienced a stressful life event or were concerned about pregnancy complications during the second trimester. Morning cortisol levels were unaffected suggesting a shallower decline in cortisol across the day in stressed women (Obel et al., 2005). Therefore, the pattern of the daily diurnal decline may be an important indicator of the influence of maternal psychology on the function of the HPA axis.

A focus on general, rather than pregnancy-specific measures of stress may also contribute to the sparse associations observed between maternal psychological stress and cortisol during pregnancy. Pregnant women are confronted with changes in their physical condition (e.g., weight gain, sleep quality), anxiety about fetal well-being, impending labor, and new challenges related to balancing work and family (Affonso et al., 1994; Arizmendi and Affonso, 1987; DiPietro et al., 2003; Norbeck and Anderson, 1989; Yali and Lobel, 1999). Previous experience with pregnancy (i.e., parity) may heighten or attenuate the intensity of pregnancy, possibly influencing both psychological and physiological responses to this context (Condon and Esuvaranathan, 1990; DiPietro et al., 2005). In support of this, there is evidence that HPA axis activity differs by parity status. Higher midday total cortisol levels have been observed in primiparae as opposed to multiparous women throughout pregnancy (Rasheed, 1993; Vleugels et al., 1986). In contrast, lower morning cortisol levels have been observed among primiparae as compared to multiparous women (Jones et al., 2006). During the postpartum period, primiparae display greater total cortisol output across the day, while the diurnal rhythm of multiparae is influenced by feeding choice (bottle vs. breastfeeding; Tu et al., 2006). Among mothers of 2-year-olds, having multiple children has been associated with lower morning cortisol levels and a flatter decline in cortisol across the day (Adam and Gunnar, 2001). Therefore, parity might exert an influence on the cortisol diurnal rhythm that extends beyond pregnancy.

Alterations in the function of the HPA axis due to parity or pregnancy-specific experiences could result in differential fetal exposure to maternal glucocorticoids with implications for fetal development. The fetus is largely protected from elevated maternal cortisol levels through the catabolic activity of placental 11β-hydroxysteroid-dehydrogenase (11β-HSD; Benediktsson et al., 1997). However, the work of Gitau and co-workers has demonstrated that maternal cortisol may still account for 33–40% of the variance in fetal cortisol concentrations (Gitau et al., 1998, 2001). Consequently, maternal free cortisol has the capacity to directly influence fetal growth and development (for review, see Seckl and Meaney, 2004). While there appears to be sensitive period in the early second trimester for maternal cortisol to influence the timing of labor (Sandman et al., 2006), late pregnancy, a period of accelerated fetal somatic growth, is a critical period for the determination of size at birth. The peak velocity of adipose tissue deposition occurs after 28 weeks gestation (Tanner, 1989) and environmental influences during this period have the capacity to affect birth weight (Paige and Villar, 1982; Uljaszek et al., 1998). Placental 11β-HSD deficiency, resulting in fetal overexposure to maternal glucocorticoids, has been linked to lower birth weight (McTernan et al., 2001; Murphy et al., 2002; Shams et al., 1998; Stewart et al., 1995). In contrast, low maternal, amniotic, and umbilical cord cortisol levels have been observed in cases of intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR; Nieto-Diaz et al., 1996; Strinic et al., 2007). Taken together, these findings suggest that levels of bioavailable cortisol levels in late pregnancy may be associated with somatic size at birth. However, little is known about the role of cortisol in regulating fetal growth in normative populations.

In summary, interest in the role of maternal psychological functioning on fetal development during pregnancy has prompted a great deal of research, but many questions remain. Basal cortisol levels have been examined as a mediator of these effects, but few studies have examined the cortisol diurnal rhythm as a potential indicator of maternal regulation of the HPA axis during pregnancy. In particular, parity may be an important determinant of maternal perception of the “ups and downs” of pregnancy and this may be translated to the fetus via changes in physiological regulation with implications for both maternal and fetal well-being. The purpose of the current study is (1) to determine if maternal psychological functioning and neonatal birth outcomes are associated with the diurnal rhythm of late pregnancy in a group of low-risk pregnant women; (2) to determine if the maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm differs by parity status; (3) to determine if maternal parity status moderates associations between salivary cortisol, psychological functioning, and birth outcomes.

1. Methods

1.1. Participants

Eligibility was restricted to normotensive, non-smoking adult women (18 years or older) with uncomplicated preg-
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nancies at the time of enrollment. Women with serious medical conditions known to complicate pregnancy (e.g., Types I or II diabetes) were ineligible to participate. Accurate dating of the pregnancy was required and based on early first trimester pregnancy testing or examination and confirmed by ultrasound. A total of 98 self-referred pregnant women from the local community were enrolled in the 36-week protocol. Participants continued to have generally healthy pregnancies and subsequent pregnancy complications were uncommon and mild in nature. All infants were born healthy at full term with the exception of one fetal death in utero prior to term. This case was excluded from analysis. Three additional participants were excluded due to use of steroid-based medications during pregnancy. The sample represents a population of mature, relatively well-educated women ($M$ age $= 31.1$, S.D. $= 4.8$, range: 21—43; $M$ years education $= 16.8$ years, S.D. $= 2.3$, range: 12—20), with fairly high occupational status (Hollingshead, 1975; $M = 7.23$, S.D. $= 1.41$, range: 2—9). Most (87%) women were non-Hispanic white and the remainder was of African-American (6%), Hispanic or Asian (6%) decent. The majority were married (94%) and expecting their first child (52%). Thirty-two percent had one previous child and 15% had two or more. Fifty-one percent of the fetuses were female.

1.2. Design and procedure

Women included in the current analysis constituted a subset of a larger study of prenatal development including maternal-fetal assessments at 32 and 36 weeks gestation. Advertisements in hospital publications, flyers, and word-of-mouth were the primary recruitment vehicles. Written informed consent was obtained during a laboratory visit at 32 weeks gestation or later for women who did not participate at 32 weeks ($n = 10$). Participants were provided a packet of study collection materials to be completed during the 36th week of gestation. This packet included three self-report questionnaires to assess both pregnancy-specific and general maternal psychological well-being. Participants were also provided with materials and instructions to complete at home saliva collection.

1.3. Salivary cortisol

1.3.1. Saliva collection

Participants were instructed to collect saliva at 8:00, 12:00, and 16:00 on 2 consecutive work days and to refrain from eating, drinking coffee, or brushing their teeth for 30 min prior to each sample. Saliva collection times, time of waking, daily hours worked, sleep quality and the presence of blood in the oral cavity that day were recorded. The use of filter strips for saliva collection and their validation have been described in detail previously (Neu et al., 2007). Saliva was collected by placing a small, specially cut filter paper (2.5 cm × 9.0 cm, Whatman Grade 42) in the subject's mouth and having them wet the filter thoroughly. The strip was removed from the subject's mouth while carefully wiping excess saliva from the surface with their lips. Filters were allowed to air dry. A recent validation study revealed that cortisol remains stable in this dried format for more than 6 months and demonstrated 92% recovery of cortisol from filters as compared to whole saliva (Neu et al., 2007).

1.3.2. Cortisol assay

The dried filters were extracted by cutting a fixed area from the filter that varies with each filter lot. Each lot must be calibrated using a known volume of radiolabeled tracer in a saliva pool. Cut filter papers were placed in a 1.4 ml micro-centrifuge tube to which assay buffer was added. These tubes were shaken for 24 h after which the extraction buffer was added in duplicate to the appropriate wells of the assay plate. Extraction dilutes the saliva approximately 1:5. Salivary cortisol concentration in the extraction buffer was determined using a commercial expanded range high sensitivity EIA kit (No. 1-3002/1-3012, Salimetrics) that detects cortisol levels in the range of .003—3.0 μg/dl (.083—82.77 nmol/l). Standard curves were fit by a weighted regression analysis using commercial software (Revelation 3.2) for the ELISA plate reader (Dynex MRX). After taking the dilution into consideration, the detection limit is .018 μg/dl (.50 nmol/l) for cortisol. This kit shows minimal cross-reactivity (4% or less) with other steroids present in the saliva. Controls run on every plate for determination of inter-assay coefficients of variation were less than 7.5% for high and low laboratory controls in the present study. Intra-assay coefficients of variation for duplicate determinations were less than 3% in the present study.

1.4. Maternal psychological well-being

Maternal psychological well-being during pregnancy was assessed with one pregnancy-specific instrument and two extensively validated measures of general psychological distress. In addition, upon enrollment, women were asked to rate their level of anxiety specific to this pregnancy on a 5-point scale ranging from low to high.

1.4.1. Pregnancy experience scale (PES)

The pregnancy experience scale (DiPietro et al., 2004) was developed to assess maternal appraisal of daily, pregnancy-specific hassles and uplifts. This scale consists of 41 items listing pregnancy-specific experiences such as "comments from others about your pregnancy/appearance" or "discussions with spouse about baby names". Participants were asked to rate the degree to which each experience constituted both a hassle and an uplift on 5-point scales ranging from "not at all" to "a great deal". Scoring was based on the ratio of hassles to uplifts (intensity of hassles divided by intensity of uplifts) where higher values indicate greater negative emotional valence towards pregnancy.

1.4.2. State-trait anxiety inventory (STAI)

The Spielberger state-trait anxiety inventory distinguishes between state anxiety, as an unpleasant negative emotion experienced in response to a threatening stimulus and trait anxiety, which is defined as a stable tendency to respond with anxiety to perceived threats (Spielberger, 1983). In particular, the Trait Form (Y2) was administered at 36 weeks and consists of 20 items that ask respondents to rate how they feel in general on a 4-point scale ranging from "almost never" to "almost always". Items were reversed as necessary and summed, such that higher total scores reflect greater trait anxiety.
1.4.3. Perceived stress scale (PSS)

The 14-item perceived stress scale (Cohen et al., 1983) was designed to measure the degree to which situations in one’s life are perceived as stressful. Women were asked to rate their thoughts and feelings about stressful situations since their pregnancy began. Items such as “How often have you felt nervous or ‘stressed’?” and “How often have you found you could not cope with all the things that you had to do?” were scored on 5-point scales from “never” to “very often”. Items were reversed as necessary and summed, such that a higher score indicates a higher level of perceived stress.

1.5. Neonatal birth outcomes

Women were provided with a labor and delivery form and asked to present these to their labor and delivery nurse immediately after delivery. Attendant hospital personnel extracted the information from the medical record and returned these by mail. Extracted infant data included infant birth weight, gestational age at birth, and Apgar scores at 1 and 5 min.

1.6. Data management and analysis

Variables were examined for outliers and skewness. Preliminary analyses, including independent sample t-tests, bivariate correlations, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to identify potential confounds. To test hypotheses, linear mixed models were estimated using SPSS MIXED in order to examine the overall pattern of individual differences in the maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm. These models incorporate two levels; Level 1 models the change in the dependent variable over time for each individual in the sample, while the Level 2 relates individual growth parameters to predictors of interest (Bryk and Raudenbush, 1987; Singer and Willett, 2003). Two important advantages emerge from the use of these models. First, individuals are not directly compared based on their cortisol levels, but on the parameters describing their diurnal decline across the day. Therefore, sample collection times are allowed to vary across participants and are accounted for in the Level 1 model. Second, the number of data points per subject can also vary, as long as there is sufficient information to describe the diurnal decline for that individual. Therefore, unbalanced data sets (missing data) are acceptable in these models (Singer and Willett, 2003).

Restricted maximum likelihood (REML) is used in reporting model parameters when assessing the significance of the random effects; degrees of freedom were estimated using the Satterthwaite method. The 95% confidence interval (CI) for random variation around each fixed effect was calculated as ±2 standard deviations of its accompanying random variance term. A piecewise model with time of sample collection as the variable time structure was selected to model maternal cortisol decline across the day. Time of day was centered at 8:00 h, such that the intercept represented cortisol level at the time of the initial assessment. Piecewise models included a morning slope to represent diurnal decline from 8:00 h to noon, and an afternoon slope to represent the degree of cortisol declivity from 12:00 h onwards. Day of sample collection was also examined as a potential source of variation. Models were initially specified with random intercepts and the effects of including random slopes, morning and afternoon, on model fit were subsequently evaluated. Covariates identified during preliminary analyses were next inspected as potential predictors. The fixed effects of each predictor (measures of psychological well-being, neonatal birth outcome, parity status) on the intercept, morning, and afternoon declines were tested sequentially in the whole group. Next, an interaction term with parity was included to determine if these associations operated differently in first time versus experienced mothers. All significant predictors were retained in the final model. Model fit was assessed with likelihood deviance difference tests for nested models.

2. Results

Several circumstances resulted in missing cortisol values at one or more collection points. These included failure to collect, failure to collect as directed, and cortisol values beyond detectable limits. Failure to collect was associated with the following missing values encompassing 16 participants: Day 1 morning (6), noon (5), and late afternoon (3); Day 2 morning (3), noon (5), and late afternoon (5). Two participants were excluded both days for failure to collect as directed. An additional participant’s Day 2 data was excluded due to night shift work. Eleven participants generated one or more cortisol values beyond assay limits (Day 1: morning (3), noon (2), and late afternoon (4); Day 2: morning (2), noon (2), and late afternoon (3)). Of the 94 participants, 91 provided valid samples for modeling on at least one day and 67 (71%) had usable data available for all six samples. Samples were log-transformed to correct for positive skew. Table 1 presents descriptive statistics and final sample sizes for use in linear mixed models for each collection period. Although transformed values were used in all analyses, untransformed values are presented in tables and figures to facilitate interpretation. To convert μg/dl to nmol/l, multiply by 27.59.

2.1. Preliminary analyses

For use in preliminary analyses only, cortisol values were “binned” by sample collection time to represent levels in the morning (range: 7:00—11:00), midday (range: 11:00—15:00), and late afternoon (range: 15:00—23:00) for each day. For precision in these analyses, additional observations were excluded if they fell outside the time ranges specified above. Twelve participants collected at least one sample outside of these time windows (Day 1: morning (2), noon (2); Day 2: morning (4), noon (4), and afternoon (2)). Days 1 and 2

Table 1 Descriptive statistics for maternal diurnal salivary cortisol levels (μg/dl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cortisol</th>
<th>Morning</th>
<th>Noon</th>
<th>Late afternoon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1 levels</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2 levels</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean levels</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: To convert μg/dl to nmol/l, multiply by 27.59.
mean cortisol values were highly correlated, $r$ (86) = .58, $p < .001$, and correlations between values collected at the same time of day were highly significant and ranged from .38 to .60. Therefore, mean values for binned cortisol variables were used in subsequent preliminary analyses. Controlling for sample collection time, gain scores were calculated from these variables by regressing midday on morning cortisol levels to reflect the morning decline and late afternoon on midday cortisol levels to reflect afternoon declines. Since typical diurnal patterns involve cortisol declines across the day, more negative gain scores indicate steeper declines.

Wake time, time elapsed between waking and morning sample collection, daily hours of work, sleep quality, and the presence or absence of blood in the oral cavity on the day of collection were all examined as potential confounds. Preliminary analyses revealed that a steeper morning cortisol decline (gain score) was found to be associated with earlier wake times, $rs$ (83 and 82) = .27 and .26, $p < .05$, greater elapsed time between waking and morning sample collection, $r$ (78) = -.30 and -.23, $p < .05$, and more hours worked, $r$ (84 and 83) = -.23 and -.27, $p < .05$, on both days. Thus, these variables were examined as covariates in subsequent analyses.

### 2.2. Maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm

As described above, linear mixed models were fit to produce estimates of morning cortisol levels at 8:00 h (intercept) and the slopes for both the morning and afternoon declines. Model parameters are given in Table 2. Model coefficients are generated from transformed values and can be interpreted with the use of inverse transforms. Intercept values in the original scale of measurement can be obtained by applying the exponential function. Coefficients for independent variables (IV; i.e., time structure, covariates, predictors) can be interpreted as the percentage change in outcome per unit change in the IV through application of the following transform: $e^{\beta_{IV}} - 1$. Maternal salivary cortisol showed the expected pattern of diurnal decline. Both morning and afternoon slopes were highly significant ($p < .001$). The addition of a random morning slope term (as well as the covariance between the random intercepts and morning slopes) resulted in a significant improvement to the model, REML deviance difference (2) = 25.80, $p < .001$. Day of sample collection, wake time, and hours worked were not significantly associated with morning cortisol levels or decline. However, controlling for the interval between waking and morning sample collection enhanced model fit, ML deviance difference (2) = 23.62, $p < .001$. At 8:00 h, cortisol levels ($M = .51 \mu g/dl$) were estimated with 95% confidence intervals of .45–.56 µg/dl. Between 8:00 and 12:00 h, maternal cortisol levels decreased by 10.5% or .053 µg/(dl h) (95% CI: $-8.0\%$ to $-13.0\%$) with a decline in rate after 12:00 h to 8.5% or .025 µg/(dl h) (95% CI: $-6.2\%$ to $-10.8\%$). Morning cortisol levels at 8:00 h were 7.5% or .038 µg/dl lower for every additional hour between waking and sample collection, and afternoon cortisol decline was 1.3% flatter. This covariate was maintained in all subsequent models.

### 2.3. Associations with maternal psychological well-being and neonatal birth outcomes

Descriptive statistics for each psychological measure and neonatal birth outcome are presented in Table 3. A single case each for the PES uplifts scale and the trait anxiety inventory was missing due to subject non-completion. There were also missing Apgar scores on provider-completed delivery forms in 12 cases. Fixed effects of maternal psychological well-being and neonatal birth outcomes on the intercept, morning, and afternoon declines were tested sequentially in the whole group. Significant predictors were retained in the model. To aid interpretation, pregnancy anxiety, and the PES hassles to uplifts intensity ratio were centered at 1, while trait anxiety, perceived stress, and birth weight were centered at the mean. Gestational length was centered at 40 weeks and Apgar scores at the optimal score of 10. Model parameters are presented in Table 4. Inclusion of trait anxiety and birth weight as predictors significantly improved the fit of the model, ML deviance difference (4) = 14.98, $p < .01$. While neither morning cortisol levels nor morning cortisol decline were associated with psychological factors, a flatter afternoon cortisol decline was associated with higher trait anxiety (1.74% per 1 S.D. increase; 95% CI: 0–3.3%). Infant birth weight was associated with both maternal cortisol

---

Table 2: Preliminary covariate model for the maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm during late pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed effects</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicting 8 a.m. cortisol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-.682 ***</td>
<td>.054</td>
<td>-12.72</td>
<td>.506 µg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake interval</td>
<td>-.078 **</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>-2.96</td>
<td>-7.5% lower per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicting diurnal decline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning slope</td>
<td>-.111 ***</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>-7.93</td>
<td>-10.5% decline per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afternoon slope</td>
<td>-.089 ***</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>-6.94</td>
<td>-8.5% decline per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake interval × afternoon slope</td>
<td>.013 *</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>+1.3% flatter per hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Random effects</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within-person residual</td>
<td>.133 ***</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>12.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept variance</td>
<td>.080 **</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning slope variance</td>
<td>.006 **</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept—morning slope covariance</td>
<td>-.005</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>-.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001.
levels at 8:00 h and the morning cortisol decline. For mothers of infants weighing 1 kg above the mean at birth (4449 g or 9.8 lbs), maternal morning cortisol levels were 18.0% or 0.091 mg/dl lower (95% CI: 0.7—32.2%) and the maternal morning cortisol decline was 5.7% flatter (95% CI: 0.1—10.4%). As a corollary, mothers with infants 1 kg below the mean (2449 g or 5.41 lbs), would have morning cortisol levels 18.0% higher than the intercept, and a 5.7% steeper morning decline.

2.4. Association with parity status

Parity status was next examined as a predictor of the maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm. Prior to examining parity effects, analyses were conducted to determine whether any socio-demographic or predictor variables were associated with parity status. Maternal parity was significantly associated with maternal age, $F(1, 91) = 5.29, p < .05, \eta^2_p = .06$, and occupational status, $F(1, 92) = 5.42, p < .05, \eta^2_p = .06$, such that women with previous children were older and had lower status occupations. Maternal parity status, age, and occupation status were all examined as predictors in the model of the diurnal decline of maternal cortisol. Primiparae were used as the reference group for parity status and maternal age and occupation status were centered at the mean. Inclusion of both maternal age and parity as predictors

---

Table 3  Descriptive statistics for measures of psychological well-being and neonatal birth outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy-specific psychological well-being</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy anxiety</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>1.00—5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy experiences scale ratio of hassles/uplifts</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>.77</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.38—1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General psychological well-being</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trait anxiety (Y2)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>38.08</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>8.00—70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived stress scale</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>24.88</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>9.00—44.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal birth outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3449</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>2489—5315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at birth (weeks)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>39.41</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>37.00—41.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 min Apgar scores</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1—10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min Apgar scores</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>5—10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4  Preliminary model relating trait anxiety and neonatal birth weight to the maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm during late pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixed effects</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicting 8 a.m. cortisol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-.677***</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>-12.67</td>
<td>.508 µg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake interval</td>
<td>-.079**</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>-3.03</td>
<td>-.7.6% per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trait anxiety</td>
<td>-.005</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>-.198*</td>
<td>.096</td>
<td>-2.06</td>
<td>-.18.0% per kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicting diurnal decline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning slope</td>
<td>-.110***</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>-7.95</td>
<td>-10.4% decline per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>.055*</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>+5.7% flatter per kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afternoon slope</td>
<td>-.090***</td>
<td>.013</td>
<td>-7.10</td>
<td>-8.6% decline per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake interval</td>
<td>.014*</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>+1.4% flatter per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trait anxiety</td>
<td>.002*</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>+1.74% flatter per +1 S.D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001.

---

Figure 1  Primiparae show a marginally less pronounced morning cortisol decline as compared to multiparae.
significantly improved the fit over the covariate model, ML deviance difference $\Delta \chi^2 = 22.11, p < .001$. Parameters describing the intercept and diurnal decline were similar to those reported in previous models. There was a main effect of maternal age such that at 8:00 h, cortisol levels were 2.7% higher per year older. Overall, morning cortisol levels were similar in first time versus experienced mothers, but there was a trend for primiparous mothers to display a 4.4% more gradual decline in cortisol per hour ($95\% CI: -9.6\%$ to $9.6\%$) during the morning than multiparae ($-12.7\%$ decline per hour), $t(86.47) = 1.74, p < .09$. See Fig. 1.

### 2.5. Interactions with parity in the prediction of the maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm

For the final model, all previously significant predictors were included with the addition of interaction terms to determine if parity status acted as a moderator of previously observed associations. Preliminary analyses revealed that neither trait anxiety nor size at birth differed by parity in this sample. Model parameters are presented in Table 5. The fit of the final model was a significant improvement over all prior models, $p < .01$. There were no interactions between parity and trait anxiety. There was a significant birth weight by parity interaction in the prediction of the morning cortisol decline, such that there was an $11.0\%$ flatter morning decline per $+1$ kg increase in birth weight among primiparae ($95\% CI: 0 – 23.0\%$). As a corollary to this finding, first time mothers of infants with a birth weight $1$ kg below the mean ($2449$ g) displayed an $11.0\%$ steeper morning cortisol decline. See Fig. 2.

### 3. Discussion

The current findings both confirm and extend previous knowledge regarding the psychobiological regulation of the HPA axis during normative pregnancy with downstream implications for fetal growth and development. Women in the current study displayed the expected pattern of salivary cortisol decline across the day with a steeper decline in the morning and a more gradual slope in the afternoon. In addition, mean salivary cortisol levels ($M = .35 \mu g/dl$ or $9.66 \text{nmol/l}$) in this sample were similar to those reported by others for women in their 36th week of pregnancy (Allolio et al., 1990; Meulenberg and Hofman, 1990), and approximately 1.5 times higher than mean values previously reported for non-pregnant controls (Allolio et al., 1990; Meulenberg and Hofman, 1990).}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Final model examining interactions with parity in the prediction of the maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm during late pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed effects</strong></td>
<td><strong>Coefficient</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicting 8 a.m. cortisol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>$-0.715^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake to collection interval</td>
<td>$-0.078^{**}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td>$0.025^{**}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trait anxiety</td>
<td>$-0.005$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>$-0.028$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (primiparae)</td>
<td>$0.080$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight $\times$ parity</td>
<td>$-0.315^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicting diurnal decline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning slope</td>
<td>$-0.135^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age</td>
<td>$-0.005$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>$0.000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (primiparae)</td>
<td>$0.039$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight $\times$ parity</td>
<td>$0.104^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afternoon slope</td>
<td>$-0.091^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wake interval</td>
<td>$0.015^{**}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trait anxiety</td>
<td>$0.002^*$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p < .09, ^* p < .05, ^{**} p < .01, ^{***} p < .001.$

Figure 2: Parity moderates the predictive relationship between the maternal morning cortisol decline and infant birth weight. An $11%$ flatter morning slope was associated with a $1000$ g increase in birth weight from the mean ($3449$ g) for primiparae (dark gray). As a corollary to this finding, an $11%$ steeper morning slope was associated with a $1000$ g lower birth weight for this group (light gray). In contrast, the diurnal rhythm of cortisol was not associated with birth weight for multiparae (black). Note: Differences in cortisol levels at 8:00 h are only marginally significant ($p = .088$) and are included in the figure to aid interpretation.
et al., 1990; Meulenberg and Hofman, 1990). These observations confirm that women in this sample displayed the moderate elevations in salivary cortisol normal for late pregnancy. In general, maternal psychological functioning had little impact on the cortisol diurnal rhythm of late pregnancy. This is in line with previous research, which has either failed to identify a link between measures of stress and cortisol during pregnancy (Petraglia et al., 2001; Uriz et al., 2004), or similar to this study, the observed correlations have been relatively small (Buitelaar et al., 2003; Diego et al., 2006). In the current study, greater maternal trait anxiety was associated with a mild flattening of the afternoon cortisol decline. This is consistent with reports of elevated evening cortisol levels among stressed pregnant women in their third trimester (Obel et al., 2005). Other studies of non-pregnant individuals have also reported that afternoon cortisol levels might be the most susceptible to the influence of psychological stress (Grossi et al., 2001; Powell et al., 2002). Taken together, these findings suggest that the resetting of the HPA axis during pregnancy might overwhelm our ability to detect hormone-behavior associations, but that late afternoon might be the optimal time of day to uncover associations if they exist.

The maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm was also associated with neonatal birth outcomes in this sample. Both morning cortisol levels and the morning decline were linked with infant birth weight, such that higher morning cortisol levels and a steeper morning decline were associated with smaller neonates. Over-exposure to exogenous glucocorticoids has been linked to declines in fetal growth (for review, see Slobooda et al., 2005). Similarly, maternal urinary cortisol levels in the second trimester have been associated with reductions in estimated fetal weight in a low socioeconomic status, but medically low-risk group (Diego et al., 2006). Cortisol levels during the morning peak may have the greatest relevance for influencing fetal development. In mice, the greatest maternal-to-fetal glucocorticoid transfer occurs at the peak of the circadian rhythm due to saturation of the 11β-HSD enzyme (Venihaki et al., 2000). If a similar process applies in human pregnancies, the chronobiological regulation of the HPA axis may represent one mechanism for the regulation of fetal growth. The heaviest neonates were born to women with a relatively flat diurnal rhythm characterized by a blunted morning peak. In a non-pregnant population, similar diurnal patterns have been associated with both compromised health (Shepston et al., 2000; Wirtz et al., 2007) and cognitive functioning (Fiocco et al., 2006). Blunted awakening responses have also been observed among pregnant women with a history of childhood trauma (Shea et al., 2007). However, regulation of the diurnal rhythm of the HPA axis appears to be functionally altered during pregnancy. While the awakening response and stereotypical pattern of the diurnal decline in cortisol are maintained (de Weerth and Buitelaar, 2005; Magiakou et al., 1996), individual differences in the magnitude of the morning peak do not appear to be conserved into the postpartum period (de Weerth and Buitelaar, 2005). Blunting of the 24 h rhythm during low-risk pregnancy has been reported (Cousins et al., 1983, 1986) and lower morning cortisol levels have been observed in first pregnancies (Jones et al., 2006). Taken together, a flattening of the diurnal rhythm may be a common condition of pregnancy and may protect the fetus from overexposure to glucocorticoids during the morning peak.

The observed associations between cortisol and birth weight could be a proxy for alternative hormonal, immunological, or placental-derived regulatory mechanisms for fetal growth. One possibility is that maternal cortisol influences fetal growth via regulation of placental morphogenesis. There is a well-recognized positive association between placental and fetal growth (for review, see Jansson and Powell, 2007), and in studies of intrauterine growth restriction, decreased placental weight is usually evident prior to disruption of fetal growth (Hafner et al., 2003; Jansson et al., 1986). Animal studies have shown that experimentally induced, modest elevations in cortisol reduce placental weight and alter placental blood flow and morphology, in addition to impairing fetal growth (Jensen et al., 2002, 2005). Exposure to elevated morning cortisol levels could have influenced placental growth similarly in the current study. Preliminary findings in a subset of 27 women for whom placental weight was available also support this hypothesis. Placental and birth weight were highly correlated (r = .61) and morning cortisol levels were inversely associated with both placental weight (r = -.31) and birth weight (r = -.27).

Parity status was found to moderate the association between cortisol and birth weight, such that the relationship between the morning decline and infant birth weight was observed for first-born infants only. While overall differences in birth weight by parity were not observed in the current study, the link between parity and birth weight is well-recognized. In general, first pregnancies produce smaller neonates (Cogswell and Yip, 1995; Kramer, 1987) and a slower rate of fetal growth has been observed among the offspring of primiparas during the last trimester (de Jong et al., 1998). While physical factors, such as intrauterine space, may contribute to the determination of fetal growth (Cogswell and Yip, 1995), physiological differences may also be at play in first versus subsequent pregnancies (Uljaszek et al., 1998). In addition to higher cortisol levels, Rasheed (1993) observed an association between cortisol levels in cord blood and birth weight/placental weight ratios in the infants of primiparae only. Regulation of fetal growth is complex and multifactorial and different mechanisms may be at play in first pregnancies (Rasheed, 1993).

A few limitations to the current study should be noted. The study relied on maternal report of saliva sample collection times for modeling the cortisol diurnal rhythm. While the study staff made it clear to participants that accuracy in reporting collection time was important, it is possible that some women may have abandoned accuracy in order to appear more compliant with the protocol. However, this circumstance would have only served to reduce our ability to detect significant associations. In addition, the use of filter paper for saliva collection in the current study may be open to scrutiny. Most studies have used filter discs as opposed to the filter strips applied in the present study. Poor reliability is associated with the diffusion properties of the discs compared to the strips. Filter discs are subject to super saturation whereas the linear shape of the filter strips allows excess saliva to move down a diffusion gradient resulting in more uniform wetting at the distal end. Participants were also instructed to wipe off excess saliva with their lips as the filter was removed which is not feasible with round discs. Finally,
validation studies of the filter strip method have indicated that cortisol levels obtained from filter strip collection correlate with those from contemporaneous fluid saliva samples with an $r^2 > .90$ (Neu et al., 2007).

Future work in this area should focus on the mechanisms responsible for the link between HPA axis regulation during late pregnancy and birth weight, with special attention to the multifactorial processes involved in fetal growth. Such work may also have implications for postnatal health and development. A growing body of epidemiological work has demonstrated that influences on fetal growth have the capacity to program postnatal physiology, metabolism, and vulnerability to stress resulting in predisposition for chronic disease (Barker, 2006). Over-exposure to glucocorticoids is hypothesized to play a major role in programming a variety of fetal tissues, including brain, heart, pancreas, and adipose tissues (for review, see Seckl and Meaney, 2004). Of particular interest, prenatal glucocorticoid exposure also sets the feedback sensitivity of the HPA axis, elevating basal cortisol levels in adulthood (Welberg et al., 2001). The inverse association between morning cortisol levels and birth weight in this largely normative sample indicates that subtle glucocorticoid programming may have occurred in other fetal tissues as well. Given the increased potential for cortisol to cross the placental barrier at peak levels (Venihaki et al., 2000), investigation of the maternal cortisol diurnal rhythm as a predictor of fetal programming outcomes may be worthwhile. In particular, the cortisol awakening response could be essential for elucidating the role of HPA axis regulation in fetal growth and development.

In conclusion, the present study has demonstrated that daily chronobiological regulation of the HPA axis is open to influence by both parity status and trait anxiety during late pregnancy, and may be associated with the regulation of fetal growth during first, but not subsequent pregnancies. As maternal psychological functioning appears to have only a minor impact on the afternoon decline in cortisol, the diurnal rhythm does not appear to be a mediator of the effects of maternal self-reported stress on neonatal birth outcomes. Therefore, future work that identifies the factors responsible for the associations between the morning decline in maternal cortisol levels and birth weight will be invaluable to this area of inquiry.
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