The Competition
The Johns Hopkins Center of Excellence in Regulatory Science and Innovation (JH-CERSI), in partnership with the Masters of Science in Regulatory Science and Masters of Science in Food Safety Regulation programs, is pleased to announce a writing competition to encourage students interested in the field of regulatory science. Winning papers will receive a cash prize, be publicized on the JH-CERSI website and in other regulatory science forums, and be shared with relevant leadership within the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Competitors should submit a manuscript focused on a topic of their choice. Submissions should either identify a new and heretofore unexplored challenge for regulatory science or food safety regulation, or provide scholarly discourse regarding an already identified regulatory science or food safety regulation need and how such challenge can best be addressed.

Prizes
1st place - $3,000 | 2nd place - $2,000 | 3rd place - $1,000

Who is Eligible
Entrants must be enrolled in the Masters of Science in Regulatory Science or Masters of Science in Food Safety Regulation at Johns Hopkins University during the 2020-21 academic year.

Judges and Considerations
Members of the Office of Regulatory Science at FDA, the CERSI executive committee and faculty in the Regulatory Science and Food Safety Regulation programs will judge submitted manuscripts. Submissions will be evaluated based on a variety of factors including:

- Importance of topic and public impact
- Thoughtfulness and depth of discussion
- Quality of research
- Writing ability
- Form and quality of citations

Manuscript Requirements
- Submissions should be between 2000 and 3000 words in length, excluding references
- Submission must include a cover page with title, author and word count
- Submissions should be a Word document using Arial 11 pt font, 1 inch margins and include endnotes
Submissions
Please submit all manuscripts to tcolonn1@jhsph.edu by Monday, May 24th at Midnight EDT.

Selection of Topics
Topics may be selected based on participants' professional experience or coursework in regulatory science or food safety regulation and should be of high value and current relevance to the FDA. Ideas for suitable topics may also be derived through review of documentation issued by FDA and different FDA Centers, such as the following:

- FDA, [Strategic Plan for Regulatory Science and Nine Priority Areas](#)
- Center for Devices and Radiological Health, [Regulatory Science Priorities 2017](#)
- Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, [Strategic Plan 2013-2017](#)
- Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, [Strategic Plan 2017-2019](#)
- Center for Tobacco Products [Research Priorities](#)
- Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, [Strategic Plan 2015-2018](#)

For example, review of these materials will highlight some of the following questions as suitable for examination:

- What existing registries may contribute to a National Medical Device Post-market Surveillance System and/or how may ongoing registry efforts best meet the needs of stakeholders outside of the FDA such as the medical device industry, health care providers, patients, academia, third-party payers, hospitals, healthcare data holders and other government agencies?
- How can FDA’s current statutory, regulatory and policy framework best be modernized to facilitate medical countermeasure development?
- How can FDA leverage its current outbreak-responsive food safety programs in its ongoing shift to a prevention-focused food safety system?
- How can elements of REMS, such as ETASUs, communication plans or medication guides, be designed for better integration into the existing and evolving healthcare system without undue burden of patients, healthcare professionals or the healthcare system?
- How can a global regulatory curriculum in low and middle income countries be developed and implemented to insure high-quality and consistent training for food and drug regulatory personnel across the globe?
- Where are there greater opportunities for a more rapid and comprehensive response to food-borne illness? Where might opportunities lie prior to outbreaks for prevention and identification of food-borne illnesses?

These materials are to serve as examples but are by no means exhaustive of potential areas of importance.